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#### Abstract

In this paper we show how to improve the recent result $\beta_{c} \leqslant 17.2 \pi$ on the inverse critical temperature for the two-dimensional Coulomb gas at low density to get the following upper bound: $\beta_{c} \leqslant 16 \pi$.
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In a recent paper, ${ }^{(1)}$ it has been shown how the energy estimate for the two-dimensional lattice Coulomb gas at low density could be improved to get the upper bound

$$
\beta_{c} \leqslant 17.2 \pi
$$

where $\beta_{c}=1 / k T_{c}$ and $T_{c}$ is the critical temperature.
In this short communication, we show how to improve this bound to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{c} \leqslant 16 \pi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We start by reviewing the argument in ref. 1: let $\rho_{k+1}$ and $\sigma_{k+1}$ be distinct neutral charge densities living in the background of charge distributions being renormalized by complex translations. $\rho_{k+1}$ and $\sigma_{k+1}$ are functions of $j \in Z^{2}$. They satisfy the following conditions:

[^0]1. The perimeter of $\operatorname{supp}\left(\rho_{k+1}\right)$ is approximately $l_{k}$, where supp is the support of $p_{k+1}(j)$.
2. $\operatorname{supp}\left(\rho_{k+1}\right) \subset B_{k+1}$, where $B_{k+1}$ is an $l_{k+1} \times l_{k+1}$ square.
3. $\operatorname{dist}\left(\rho_{k+1}, \sigma_{k+1}\right) \geqslant l_{k+2}$, where $\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$ stands for the distance between the supports of charge densities.
$l_{k}$ is the length at scale $k$ (see ref. 2 for details). The boundary contribution to the "energy estimate" is finite (and small in the low-density regime) if the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{k} \times\left(\frac{l_{k+1}}{l_{k+2}}\right)^{2}=l_{k}^{1+2 \theta-2 \theta^{2}}<\delta^{k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\delta<1$ and $\theta$ is the exponent characterizing the rate of growth of the sequence $\left\{l_{k}\right\}, l_{k+1}=l_{k}^{\theta}$. Inequality (2) is satisfied for $\theta>(\sqrt{3}+1) / 2$, which gives the result $\beta_{e} \leqslant 17.2 \pi$.

One may ask what happens if neutral charge densities $\rho_{k+1} \subset B_{k+1}$, whose perimeter is of order $l_{k}$, are further away from each other. For such, we generalize condition 3 given above:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}\left(\rho_{k+1}, \sigma_{k+1}\right) \geqslant l_{k+1+n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=1,2,3, \ldots$. The distance requirement (3) can be obtained by imposing that dipoles formed at scale $k$ will stay renormalized at scale $k+n$, as the next proposition shows. We observe that it is an unnatural condition and it is the reason why we cannot obtain a result better than (1). In what follows, $z\left(\rho_{k}\right)$ stands for the activity of $\rho_{k}$. We remark that (see ref. 2 for details)

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{k+1}=z_{k}^{1+\alpha \varepsilon} \\
& \frac{l_{k+1}}{l_{k}}=z_{k}^{-\alpha} \geqslant l_{k}^{\theta-1} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z_{k}$ is the activity at scale $k ; z_{0}=z$ is the initial activity; $\varepsilon$ is a positive number to be chosen later; $\alpha$ is the exponent relating the length scales and activities. Inequality (4) is satisfied once

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \varepsilon>\theta-1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 1. Let $\rho_{k+1}$ be a neutral charge density localized on $B_{k+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{k+1}=\sum c_{i} \rho_{k}^{i}, \quad \text { where } i \geqslant 2 \\
\left|z\left(\rho_{k}\right)\right|<z_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

Suppose that, during the induction $k+2 \rightarrow k+3 \rightarrow \cdots k+n$, $\rho_{k}$ remains isolated (i.e., $\rho_{k}=\rho_{k+n}$ ). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon+2}>\frac{2 \alpha \varepsilon}{\varepsilon+2}+\left[(1+\alpha \varepsilon)^{n}-1\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left|z\left(\rho_{k+, n}\right)\right|<z_{k+n}$.
Proof. For each scale change $L_{k+i} \rightarrow L_{k+i+1}$ we get an entropy factor of $\left(L_{k+i+1} / L_{k+i}\right)^{2}$ for $z\left(\rho_{k+n}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|z\left(\rho_{k+n}\right)\right| & <\left|z\left(\rho_{k}\right)\right|^{2}\left(l_{k+1} / l_{k}\right)^{4}\left(l_{k+2} / l_{k+1}\right)^{2} \cdots\left(l_{k+n} / l_{k+n-1}\right)^{2} \\
& <z_{k}^{2}\left(l_{k+1} / l_{k}\right)^{4}\left(l_{k+2} / l_{k+1}\right)^{2} \cdots\left(l_{k+n} / l_{k+n-1}\right)^{2} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Imposing the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z\left(\rho_{k+n}\right)\right|<z_{k+n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we rewrite the product (7) and $z_{k+n}$ in terms of $z_{k}$ and compare exponents to conclude that (6) is a sufficient condition for (8) to be true.

The parameter $\varepsilon$ is chosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\varepsilon<\frac{\beta}{4 \pi(1+S)}-2 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S$ stands for the boundary contributions coming from the "energy estimate." $S$ is a function of $z$, the initial activity, and it goes to zero as $z$ goes to zero (see ref. 2 for details). The equivalent to (2) will be

$$
l_{k} \times\left(\frac{l_{k+1}}{l_{k+1+n}}\right)^{2}=l_{k}^{1+2 \theta-2 \theta^{n+1}}<\delta^{k}
$$

which holds for values of $\theta$ satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+2 \theta-2 \theta^{n+1}<0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Appendix we show that $\alpha$ and $\theta$ can be found satisfying (5), (6), and (10) if and only if $\varepsilon$ satisfies the lower bound

$$
\varepsilon>\frac{4 \theta^{2}-4 \theta+2}{2 \theta-1}
$$

which implies, after using (9),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta>8 \pi(1+S) \times \frac{2 \theta^{2}}{2 \theta-1}>8 \pi(1+S) \times \frac{2 \theta_{c}^{2}}{2 \theta_{c}-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{c}=\theta_{c}(n)$ is the root of (13). Taking the limits $\theta_{c}(n) \searrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see the Appendix) and $S \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$
\beta>16 \pi
$$

and therefore the claimed result (1).
We observe that, for $n=1$, our result (11) is the same as the one appearing in ref. 1. To see it, substitute (13) in (11) to obtain

$$
\beta>8 \pi \times \frac{\theta_{c}}{2-\theta_{c}^{n}}
$$

which, for $n=1$, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta>8 \pi \times \frac{\theta_{c}}{2-\theta_{c}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the formula for $\beta_{c}$ appearing in ref. 1.

## APPENDIX

Let $\theta_{c}(n)$ be the real positive root closest to 1 of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+2 \theta}{2 \theta}=\theta^{n} \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the intersection between the graphics of $\theta^{n}$ and $1+1 / 2 \theta$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{c}(n) & >1 \\
\theta_{c}(n+1) & <\theta_{c}(n) \\
\theta_{c}(n) & \searrow 1 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the inequality (10) is satisfied by any $\theta>\theta_{c}(n)$ because $2\left(\theta^{n+1}-\theta\right)>2 \theta_{c}\left(\theta_{c}^{n}(n)-1\right)=1$.
$\alpha_{1}$ is defined as the root of

$$
\varepsilon /(\varepsilon+2)=2 \alpha \varepsilon /(\varepsilon+2)+\left[(1+\alpha \varepsilon)^{n}-1\right] \equiv f(\alpha)
$$

Observe that $f(\alpha)$ is an increasing polynomial function of $\alpha$. Let $\alpha_{e}(n) \equiv\left[\theta_{c}(n)-1\right] / \xi$. Observe that, for $\theta>\theta_{c}(n)$, the following inequality is true:

$$
\frac{\theta-1}{\varepsilon}=\alpha>\alpha_{c}=\frac{\theta_{c}-1}{\varepsilon}
$$

Proposition 2. $f\left(\alpha_{c}\right)<f\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\varepsilon>\left(4 \theta_{c}^{2}-4 \theta_{c}+2\right) /\left(2 \theta_{c}-1\right)
$$

Proof.

$$
f\left(\alpha_{c}\right)=\frac{2\left(\theta_{c}-1\right)}{\varepsilon+2}+\theta_{c}^{n}-1=\frac{2\left(\theta_{c}-1\right)}{\varepsilon+2}+\frac{1}{2 \theta_{c}}=\frac{2\left(\theta_{c}-1\right)+\varepsilon / 2 \theta_{c}+1 / \theta_{c}}{\varepsilon+2}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
f\left(\alpha_{c}\right)<\varepsilon /(\varepsilon+2) \Leftrightarrow 2\left(\theta_{c}-1\right)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \theta_{c}}+\frac{1}{\theta_{c}}<\varepsilon
$$

from which the result follows.
Therefore, by the continuity of $f(\alpha)$, we can choose $\theta>\theta_{c}$, close enough to $\theta_{c}$, such that the inequalities (5), (6), and (10) are satisfied.

Remark. After the completion of this work the author received a preprint ${ }^{(3)}$ in which the Kosterlitz-Thouless phase is established for $\beta>8 \pi$ and small $z$.
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